arXiv:1611.10308v1 [math.AC] 30 Nov 2016

COMPUTING J-IDEALS OF A MATRIX OVER A PRINCIPAL IDEAL DOMAIN

CLEMENS HEUBERGER AND ROSWITHA RISSNER

Abstract. Given a square matrix B over a principal ideal domain D and an ideal J of D, the J-ideal of B consists of the polynomials $f \in D[X]$ such that all entries of $f(B)$ are in J. It has been shown that in order to determine all J-ideals of B it suffices to compute a generating set of the (p^t)-ideal of B for finitely many prime powers p^t. Moreover, it is known that (p^t)-ideals are generated by polynomials of the form $p^{t-s}\nu_s$ where ν_s is a monic polynomial of minimal degree in the (p^s)-ideal of B for some $s \le t$. However, except for the case of diagonal matrices, it was not known how to determine these polynomials explicitly. We present an algorithm which allows to compute the polynomials ν_s for general square matrices. Exploiting one of McCoy's theorems we first compute some set of generators of the (p^s)-ideal of B which then can be used to determine ν_s. This algorithmic computation significantly extends our understanding of the J-ideals of B.

1. Introduction

If $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ is a square matrix over a commutative ring R and J is an ideal of R, the J-ideal of B is defined as

$$\mathsf{N}_J(B) = \{f \in R[X] \mid f(B) \in \mathrm{M}_n(J)\}.$$

These ideals have been introduced in [8] and arise naturally in the study of integer-valued polynomials on a matrix B (see below in Section 2.2).

In case the underlying ring is a principal ideal domain, the structure of J-ideals has been studied thoroughly in [8]. It has been shown that it suffices to compute a finite number of polynomials in order to describe all J-ideals of a matrix B. As summarized in Section 2.1, it suffices to determine a monic polynomial of minimal degree in $\mathsf{N}_{(p^t)}(B)$ for a finite number of prime powers p^t of D. It is further known that these so-called (p^t)-minimal polynomials are strongly related to the decomposition of the modules

$$(D/p^tD)[B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^tD)] = \{f(B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^tD)) \mid f \in (D/p^tD)[X]\}$$

into cyclic submodules with ascending annihilators, see Section 2.3.

However, the characterization of these generating sets given in [8] is theoretic. Except for diagonal matrices, it was not known so far how to compute (p^t)-minimal polynomials. This paper is the algorithmic counterpart of [8]. Algorithm 5 determines these polynomials explicitly for general square matrices B with entries in a principal ideal domain. The iterative computation consists of two main steps. Given a generating system of the (p^{t-1})-ideal of B, we first determine a set $\mathcal{F}$ of polynomials such that $\mathsf{N}_{(p^t)}(B) = (\mathcal{F}) + p\mathsf{N}_{(p^{t-1})}(B)$. Subsequently, we perform a couple of carefully chosen polynomial long divisions in order to compute a (p^t)-minimal polynomial.

In order to determine the set $\mathcal{F}$, we use a description of the null ideal of a matrix given by McCoy in [7, Theorem 54] (see Lemma 4.2). This result allows us to translate the question to a system of linear equations modulo p^t. In order to solve this linear system, we present a special lifting technique in Section 3. The application of this technique to the original question is considered in Section 4. The topic of Section 5 is then the computation of a (p^t)-minimal polynomial. Finally,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F20; 11C08, 15A15, 15B33, 15B36.

Key words and phrases. matrix, null ideal, minimal polynomial.

C. Heuberger is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 24644-N26.

R. Rissner is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 27816-N26.

in Section 6 we explain why the minimal polynomial μ_B of B is a (p^t)-minimal polynomial for all but finitely many prime elements p.

2. Results

All rings considered in this paper are assumed to be commutative with unity. For a ring R and positive integers r, s, the set of $(r \times s)$-matrices over R is denoted by $M_{r,s}(R)$ or by $M_r(R)$ if $r = s$.

2.1. **(a)-ideals of matrices.** Let D be a principal ideal domain, $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ and (a) be an ideal of D. The aim is to describe the structure of the *(a)-ideal*

$$\mathsf{N}_{(a)}(B) = \{f \in D[X] \mid f(B) \equiv 0 \pmod{a}\}$$

of B.

If $a = 0$, then it is easily seen that

$$\mathsf{N}_{(0)}(B) = \mu_B D[X]$$

where μ_B is the minimal polynomial of B over the quotient field of D, cf. [4].

If $0 \neq a = bc$ for coprime elements b and c, then $\mathsf{N}_a(B) = c\mathsf{N}_b(B) + b\mathsf{N}_c(B)$ according to [8, Lemma 2.9]. Since every element in D has a decomposition into primes, it suffices to consider the case $a = p^t$ where p is a prime element and $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

For almost all prime elements p, we have

$$\mathsf{N}_{(p^t)}(B) = \mu_B D[X] + p^t D[X] \tag{1}$$

for $t \geq 1$. More precisely, this is the case for all primes p which do not divide $\det(T)$ where T is a matrix in $\mathrm{M}_n(D) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that TBT^{-1} is in rational canonical form, see Theorem 4. However, the transformation matrix T is not uniquely determined and the set of prime divisors $\det(T)$ depends on the choice of T, see Example 6.1.

Thus it is sufficient to determine $\mathsf{N}_{(p^t)}(B)$ for finitely many primes p. The following result has been shown in [8].

Theorem 1 ([8, Theorem 2.19, Corollary 2.23])**.** *Let p be a prime element of D. Then there is a finite set $\mathcal{S}_p$ of positive integers and monic polynomials ν_{ps} for $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$ such that for $t \geq 1$,*

$$\mathsf{N}_{(p^t)}(B) = \mu_B D[X] + p^t D[X] + \sum_{\substack{s \in \mathcal{S}_p \\ s \leq b(t)}} p^{\max\{0, t-s\}} \nu_{ps} D[X]$$

holds where $b(t) = \min\{r \in \mathcal{S}_p \mid r \geq s\}$. The degree of ν_{ps} is strictly increasing in $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$ and ν_{ps} is a monic polynomial of minimal degree in $\mathsf{N}_{(p^s)}(B)$. If $t \leq \max \mathcal{S}_p$, then the summand $\mu_B D[X]$ can be omitted.

Whereas [8] could only show the existence of these $\mathcal{S}_p$, and ν_{ps}, $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$, the present paper presents an algorithm (Algorithm 5) to explicitly compute these quantities. Thus the structure of $\mathsf{N}_{(a)}(B)$ is completely understood.

For simplicity, we omit the indices p and write $\mathcal{S}$ and ν_s instead of $\mathcal{S}_p$ and ν_{ps}, respectively, when the prime p is clear from the context.

An implementation of Algorithm 5 has been submitted [5] for inclusion in the free open-source mathematics software system SageMath [9] as method `p_minimal_polynomials` of a matrix; the (a)-ideal of B can be computed by the method `null_ideal` of B.

2.2. **Integer-valued polynomials.** Let D be a principal ideal domain with quotient field K and $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Int}(B, \mathrm{M}_n(D)) \coloneqq \{f \in K[X] \mid f(B) \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)\}$$

is called the ring of integer-valued polynomials on B. As before, the minimal polynomial of B over K is denoted by μ_B.

If a polynomial $f \in K[X]$ is written as $f = g/d$ for some $g \in D[X]$ and $d \in D$, then $f \in \mathrm{Int}(B, \mathrm{M}_n(D))$ holds if and only if $g \in \mathsf{N}_{(d)}(B)$. Thus Theorem 1 translates into the following corollary proved in [8].

Corollary 2.1 ([8, Theorem 4.3])**.** *With the above notations, there is a finite set $\mathcal{P}$ of prime elements such that*

$$\mathrm{Int}(B, \mathrm{M}_n(D)) = \mu_B K[X] + D[X] + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_p} \frac{1}{p^s} \nu_{ps} D[X]$$

where $\mathcal{S}_p$ and ν_{ps}, $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$, are the set and polynomials from Theorem 1.

As a consequence, Algorithm 5 completely describes the structure of $\mathrm{Int}(B, \mathrm{M}_n(D))$.

An implementation has been submitted as method `integer_valued_polynomials` for inclusion in SageMath.

2.3. **Module decompositions.** Again, let D be a principal ideal domain, $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ and p^t a prime power of D. The (D/p^tD)-module

$$(D/p^tD)[B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^tD)] = \{f(B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^tD)) \mid f \in (D/p^tD)[X]\}$$

is a finitely generated module over a principal ideal ring. According to [3, Theorem 15.33] this module decomposes into a direct sum of cyclic submodules with uniquely determined annihilators (the invariant factors). As shown in [8], this decomposition is strongly related to the generating set described in Theorem 1 which is stated in the next theorem. This further implies that the set $\mathcal{S}_p$ and the degrees of the polynomials ν_{ps}, $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$ are uniquely determined.

Theorem 2 ([8, Theorem 3.5])**.** *Let $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ and for a prime p of D, let $\mathcal{S}_p$ and ν_{ps}, $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$, the set and polynomials from Theorem 1. We order $\{\nu_{ps} \mid s \in \mathcal{S}_p\} \cup \{\mu_B\}$ by ascending degree and define* $\mathrm{succ}(\nu_{ps})$ *for $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$ to be the successor of ν_s with respect to this ordering. Finally, let $d = \min\{\deg(\nu_{ps}) \mid s \in \mathcal{S}_p\}$ and $d_s = \deg(\mathrm{succ}(\nu_{ps})) - \deg(\nu_{ps})$. Then*

$$(D/p^tD)[B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^tD)] = (D/p^tD)^d \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{s \in \mathcal{S}_p \\ s \le t}} (D/p^{t-s}D)^{d_s}$$

for $t \ge 0$.

As a consequence, Algorithm 5 completely determines the structure of $(D/p^tD)[B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^tD)]$.

3. Lifting

In this section, we provide the lifting procedure which allows the recursive computation of the (p^t)-minimal polynomials in Section 5.

Let D be a principal ideal domain, p be a prime element of D and $d \ge c \ge 1$. The projection of some $z \in D$ to the field D/pD is denoted by $\overline{z}$. We extend this notation to polynomials in $D[X]$ and vectors in $D[X]^d$ as well as matrices in $M_{c,d}(D[X])$. The identity matrix is denoted by I.

Let $A \in M_{c,d}(D[X])$ be a matrix such that $\overline{A}$ has rank c. For $t \ge 0$, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{O}_t \coloneqq \mathcal{O}_t(A) \coloneqq \{\boldsymbol{f} \in D[X]^d \mid A\boldsymbol{f} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}\}.$$

This is clearly a $D[X]$-module. For $t = 0$, we obviously have $\mathcal{O}_t = D[X]^d$.

A recursive method for computing $\mathcal{O}_t$ is given in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Recursive computation of $\mathcal{O}_t$

Input: $t \ge 1$, $G \in M_{d,s}(D[X])$ such that the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^{t-1}I & G \end{pmatrix}$ are generators of $\mathcal{O}_{t-1}$

Output: $F \in M_{d,d-c+s}(D[X])$ such that the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^tI & F & pG \end{pmatrix}$ are generators of $\mathcal{O}_t$

$R \coloneqq AG/p^{t-1}$

Let $S \in M_c(D[X])$ and $T \in M_{d+s}(D[X])$ such that $\overline{S}$ and $\overline{T}$ are invertible and $\overline{S}\begin{pmatrix} \overline{A} & \overline{R} \end{pmatrix}\overline{T} = \mathrm{diag}_{c \times (d+s)}(\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_c)$ with $\overline{\alpha}_1 \mid \overline{\alpha}_2 \mid \cdots \mid \overline{\alpha}_c$ (Smith normal form)

Let $F \in M_{d,d-c+s}(D[X])$ consist of the last $d - c + s$ columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^{t-1}I & G \end{pmatrix} T$

Proposition 3.1. *Algorithm 1 is correct.*

Proof. By definition, we have $\mathcal{O}_t \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{t-1}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{f} \in D[X]^d$. Then $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathcal{O}_t$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{f} = p^{t-1}\boldsymbol{h} + G\boldsymbol{q}$ for some $\boldsymbol{h} \in D[X]^d$ and $\boldsymbol{q} \in D[X]^s$ such that $p^{t-1}A\boldsymbol{h} + AG\boldsymbol{q} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}$. As the columns of G are elements of $\mathcal{O}_{t-1}$, the matrix R is indeed an element of $M_{c,s}(D[X])$ and $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathcal{O}_t$ holds if and only if

$$A\boldsymbol{h} + R\boldsymbol{q} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{2}$$

Projecting into $(D/pD)[X]$, (2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{A} & \overline{R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\boldsymbol{h}} \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{q}} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{3}$$

As $\overline{A}$ has full row rank, so do $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{A} & \overline{R} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\operatorname{diag}_{c\times(d+s)}(\overline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_c)$.

We rewrite (3) in terms of the Smith normal form to obtain

$$\operatorname{diag}_{c\times(d+s)}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_c)\overline{T}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\boldsymbol{h}} \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{q}} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\boldsymbol{h}} \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{q}} \end{pmatrix} = \overline{T} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{y}} \end{pmatrix}$$

for a suitable $\boldsymbol{y} \in D[X]^{d-c+s}$.

Thus $\boldsymbol{h} = \boldsymbol{h}_0 + p\boldsymbol{h}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{q}_0 + p\boldsymbol{q}_1$ for some $\boldsymbol{h}_1 \in D[X]^d$, $\boldsymbol{q}_1 \in D[X]^s$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{h}_0 \\ \boldsymbol{q}_0 \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have

$$\boldsymbol{f} = p^{t-1}\boldsymbol{h} + G\boldsymbol{q} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{t-1}I & G \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix} + p^t\boldsymbol{h}_1 + Gp\boldsymbol{q}_1 = F\boldsymbol{y} + p^t\boldsymbol{h}_1 + Gp\boldsymbol{q}_1,$$

as claimed. □

4. Generators of (p^t)-ideals

This section is dedicated to the computation of a generating set of the (p^t)-ideal of a matrix over a principal ideal domain. Before we go into details, let us recall the basic definitions.

Definition 4.1. Let R be a commutative ring, J an ideal and $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ be a square matrix. The *J-ideal of B* is defined as

$$\mathsf{N}_J(B) = \mathsf{N}_J^R(B) = \{\, f \in R[X] \mid f(B) \in \mathrm{M}_n(J) \,\}.$$

A monic polynomial $\nu \in R[X]$ is called *J-minimal polynomial of B* if

(1) $\nu \in \mathsf{N}_J(B)$ and
(2) $\deg(g) \geq \deg(\nu)$ for all monic polynomials g with $g \in \mathsf{N}_J(B)$.

We omit the superscript in $\mathsf{N}_J^R(B)$ if the underlying ring is clear from the context.

Remark. The (0)-ideal of B is just the null ideal of B, that is, $\mathsf{N}_{(0)}(B) = \{\, f \in R[X] \mid f(B) = 0 \,\}$. In case that R is a field, $\mathsf{N}_{(0)}(B)$ is a principal ideal of $R[X]$. The *minimal polynomial* of B is the (in this case) uniquely determined (0)-minimal polynomial of B. Over general commutative rings, a (0)-minimal polynomial of a matrix is not necessarily uniquely determined.

Remark. Note that every square matrix $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ has a J-minimal polynomial for every ideal J of R. This is due to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem; every matrix over a commutative ring satisfies its own characteristic equation (cf. [6, Theorem XIV.3.1]). Let $B + \mathrm{M}_n(J) \in \mathrm{M}_n(R/J)$ be the residue class of B modulo J and $\chi \in (R/J)[X]$ denote the characteristic polynomial of $B + \mathrm{M}_n(J)$. Then every preimage $f \in R[X]$ of χ satisfies $f(B) \equiv \chi(B + \mathrm{M}_n(J)) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathrm{M}_n(J)}$ and hence $f \in \mathsf{N}_J(B)$. In particular, there exists a monic preimage of χ in $R[X]$.

From now on, let the underlying ring be the principal ideal domain D and $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ a square matrix. For any ideal J of D there exists $a \in D$ such that $J = (a)$. Following the convention in [8], we write $\mathsf{N}_a(B)$ instead of $\mathsf{N}_{(a)}(B)$.

Assume that $a = 0$ and let K denote the quotient field of D. The null ideal of B considered as a matrix over K is generated by its minimal polynomial $\mu_B \in K[X]$. Since the characteristic polynomial $\chi \in D[X]$ of B is in $\mathsf{N}_0^K(B)$ it follows that μ_B divides χ. However, D is integrally closed and therefore every monic factor in $K[X]$ of a monic polynomial in $D[X]$ is already an element of $D[X]$ (see [2, Ch. 5, §1.3, Prop. 11]). Hence $\mu_B \in D[X]$ and

$$\mathsf{N}_0^D(B) = \mathsf{N}_0^K(B) \cap D[X] = \mu_B K[X] \cap D[X] = \mu_B D[X].$$

In order to find a generating set of $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$, we reformulate the problem to a form such that the approach of the previous section is applicable. For this purpose we use one of McCoy's theorems.

Lemma 4.2 ([7, Theorem 54])**.** *Let R be a commutative ring and $C \in \mathrm{M}_n(R)$ a square matrix. Then*

$$\mathsf{N}_0(C) = \{f \in R[X] \mid \exists Q \in \mathrm{M}_n(R[X])\colon f \operatorname{adj}(X - C) = \chi_C Q\}.$$

Here, $\operatorname{adj}(X - C) \in \mathrm{M}_n(R[X])$ *is the adjugate matrix of $X - C$ and $\chi_C \in R[X]$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of C.*

If $B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^t D) \in \mathrm{M}_n(D/p^t D)$ denotes the residue class of B modulo p^t, then $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ is the preimage of $\mathsf{N}_0(B + \mathrm{M}_n(p^t D))$ under the projection modulo p^t. Hence we can write the (p^t)-ideal of our matrix $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ in the following way.

Corollary 4.3. *Let D be a principal ideal domain, $p \in D$ a prime element, $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ be a square matrix and $t \geq 0$. Then*

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = \{f \in D[X] \mid \exists Q \in \mathrm{M}_n(D[X])\colon f \operatorname{adj}(X - B) \equiv \chi_B Q \pmod{p^t}\}.$$

Note that if $t = 0$, then $(p^0) = D$ and D/D is the zero ring which has no unity and we cannot apply McCoy's theorem (Lemma 4.2). However, it is easily seen that the corollary still holds which is why we allow the case $t = 0$.

For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, the congruence in Corollary 4.3 translates to

$$\operatorname{adj}(X - B)_{ij} f - \chi_B Q_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let D be a principal ideal domain, $p \in D$ a prime element, $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ be a square matrix with characteristic polynomial χ_B and $t \geq 0$. Further, let $\boldsymbol{b} \in D[X]^{n^2}$ be the column vector containing all entries of* $\operatorname{adj}(X - B)$ *in some fixed order, $A = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{b} & -\chi_B I \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n^2, n^2+1}(D)$ and*

$$\mathcal{O}_t(A) = \{\boldsymbol{f} \in D[X]^{n^2+1} \mid A\boldsymbol{f} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}\}.$$

For $g_{11}, \ldots, g_{1s} \in D[X]$ (with $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$), the following assertions are equivalent:

1. *There is a matrix $G \in M_{n^2+1,s}(D[X])$ with first row $g_{11}, \ldots, g_{1s}$ such that the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^t I & G \end{pmatrix}$ form a generating set of $\mathcal{O}_t(A)$.*
2. *p^t, g_{11}, …, g_{1s} form a generating set of $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$.*

Proof. The ideal $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ is the projection of $\mathcal{O}_t(A)$ on the first component according to Corollary 4.3. It follows that (1) implies (2). For the reverse implication let $g_{11}, \ldots, g_{1s} \in D[X]$ such that $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = (p^t, g_{11}, \ldots, g_{1s})$. Since $g_{1i} \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, there exist polynomials $g_{ji} \in D[X]$ for $2 \leq j \leq n^2 + 1$ such that $\boldsymbol{g}_i = (g_{ji})_j \in \mathcal{O}_t(A)$ according to Corollary 4.3. If $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_j)_j \in \mathcal{O}_t(A)$ is an arbitrary element, then $f_1 \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(A)$ and there exist $h_0, \ldots, h_s$ such that

$$f_1 = p^t h_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_i g_{1i}. \tag{4}$$

If b_j denotes the j-th coordinate of $\boldsymbol{b}$ then, by definition of $\mathcal{O}_t(A)$,

$$f_1 b_j \equiv \chi_B f_{j+1} \pmod{p^t}$$

and

$$g_{1i}b_j \equiv \chi_B g_{j+1,i} \pmod{p^t}$$

hold for $1 \le j \le n^2$. Together with (4), we get

$$\chi_B f_{j+1} \equiv f_1 b_j \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_i g_{1i} b_j \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_i \chi_B g_{j+1,i} \pmod{p^t}.$$

Since χ_B is monic, its residue class modulo p^t is no zero-divisor and we can cancel χ_B in the equation above to conclude that

$$f_{j+1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_i g_{j+1,i} \pmod{p^t}.$$

Therefore $\boldsymbol{f}$ is a $D[X]$-linear combination of the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^t I & G \end{pmatrix}$ where $G = (g_{ji})_{\substack{1 \le j \le n^2+1 \\ 1 \le i \le s}}$. □

It follows from Proposition 4.4 that we can use Algorithm 1 to compute a generating system of $\mathcal{O}_t(A)$ (with $c = n^2$ and $d = n^2 + 1$). Note that the residue class $\overline{A}$ of A has full rank since χ_B is monic. Assume that we are given $G \in M_{n^2+1,s}(D[X])$ whose columns together with those of $p^{t-1}I$ generate $\mathcal{O}_{t-1}(A)$, then Algorithm 1 computes a matrix $F \in M_{n^2+1,s+1}(D[X])$ such that the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^t I & F & pG \end{pmatrix}$ generate $\mathcal{O}_t(A)$. Then p^t, f_{11}, …, $f_{1,s+1}$, pg_{11}, …, pg_{1s} generate $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$, according to Proposition 4.4. In particular, the elements f_{11}, …, $f_{1,s+1}$ satisfy a property which motivates the next definition.

Definition 4.5. Let D be a principal ideal domain, $p \in D$ a prime element, $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ be a square matrix and $t \ge 1$. We say that a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $D[X]$ has the (p^t)-*generating property w.r.t.* B if

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = (\mathcal{F}) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B).$$

As output of Algorithm 1, $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{11}, \dots, f_{1,s+1}\}$ has $s+1$ elements. Applying the algorithm recursively leads to a huge set of generators.

Indeed, according to [8, Proposition 2.13], if $t \ge 1$ and ν_t is a (p^t)-minimal polynomial of B, then

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = (\nu_t) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B). \tag{5}$$

This is also a consequence of Algorithm 5 below.

The next section is dedicated to the question how to compute (p^t)-minimal polynomials given a set $\mathcal{F}$ with the (p^t)-generating property and a (p^{t-1})-minimal polynomial. For now, we assume that we already know (p^i)-minimal polynomials ν_i for $1 \le i \le t-1$. Then Equation (5) implies

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B) = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} p^{t-1-i}\nu_i D[X]$$

and according to Proposition 4.4, there exists a matrix $G \in M_{n^2+1,t-1}(D)$ with $g_{1i} = p^{t-1-i}\nu_i$ for $1 \le i \le t-1$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} p^{t-1}I & G \end{pmatrix}$ generates $\mathcal{O}_{t-1}(A)$. With this choice, $s = t-1$ and hence Algorithm 1 produces a set $\mathcal{F}$ with t elements.

Even the reduction technique introduced in [8, Theorem 2.19] does not yield $|\mathcal{F}| = 1$ except in trivial cases. Therefore, reduction of $|\mathcal{F}|$ in every step is essential.

5. Computing (p^t)-minimal polynomials

This section considers the question how to compute a (p^t)-minimal polynomial of a square matrix $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ over a principal ideal domain D for $t \ge 1$. For this purpose, we assume throughout this section that we already determined a (p^i)-minimal polynomial ν_i for $0 \le i \le t-1$ and a set $\mathcal{F}$ with the (p^t)-generating property. We start with a special case, namely the case where the set $\mathcal{F}$ consists of a single monic polynomial f.

Proposition 5.1. *Let D be a principal ideal domain, $p \in D$ a prime element, $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ be a square matrix, $t \geq 1$ and $\nu \in D[X]$ be a monic polynomial.*

If $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = (\nu) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ then ν is a (p^t)-minimal polynomial.

Proof. Since $\nu \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ holds by hypothesis, it suffices to show that $\deg(f) \geq \deg(\nu)$ for all monic polynomials $f \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$. By assumption,

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = (\nu) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$$

holds, so for a monic polynomial $f \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ there exist $g \in D[X]$ and $h \in \mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ such that $f = g\nu + ph$. Let g_1, $g_2 \in D[X]$ be polynomials such that $g = g_1 + pg_2$ and no non-zero coefficient of g_1 is divisible by p. Then $f = g_1\nu + p(g_2\nu + h)$ and since f is monic and p does not divide $\mathrm{lc}(g_1) = \mathrm{lc}(g_1\nu)$ it follows that $\deg(f) = \deg(g_1) + \deg(\nu) \geq \deg(\nu)$. □

In order to apply Proposition 5.1, we have to reduce the output set $\mathcal{F}$ of Algorithm 1 such that it only contains one monic polynomial.

As a first step, observe that

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) \cap pD[X] = p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$$

holds and therefore $\mathcal{F} \setminus pD[X]$ has the (p^t)-generating property. From now on we can therefore assume that $\mathcal{F} \cap pD[X] = \emptyset$. Since $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ always contains a monic polynomial it follows that $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) \neq p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ and hence $\mathcal{F}$ is never empty.

In order to compute a (p^t)-minimal polynomial from the polynomials in $\mathcal{F}$ we need the following special case of [8, Corollary 2.14].

Lemma 5.2 ([8])**.** *Let ν_t be a (p^t)-minimal polynomial of B and $f \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$.*

If $f \notin pD[X]$, then $\deg(f) \geq \deg(\nu_t)$.

The idea is to start with $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}$ and show (for $i \geq 1$) that if $|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}| > 1$ then we can compute a set $\mathcal{F}_i$ of monic polynomials with the (p^t)-generating property with $\mathcal{F}_i \cap pD[X] = \emptyset$ such that $(\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_i} \deg f, |\mathcal{F}_i|)$ decreases lexicographically in each step.

Since the degree of monic polynomials in $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ is clearly bounded from below, we end up with a singleton satisfying the (p^t)-generating property. Hence, by Proposition 5.1 the singleton at the end contains a (p^t)-minimal polynomial.

It turns out that polynomial division is a useful tool to compute $\mathcal{F}_i$. However, we are working in $D[X]$, so we cannot just divide some polynomial by another; we want to deal with monic polynomials to guarantee that polynomial division is applicable. Algorithms 2 and 3 provide the tools to replace a set with the (p^t)-generating property by another one which consists only of monic polynomials.

Algorithm 2 Find monic polynomial

Input: $p \in D$ prime, $t \geq 1$, ν_{t-1} a (p^{t-1})-minimal polynomial of B and $f \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) \setminus pD[X]$
Output: Monic polynomial $h \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ with $\deg(h) \leq \deg(f)$
Write $f = f_1 + pf_2$ such that all non-zero coefficients of f_1 are not divisible by p
Let r be the remainder of f_2 modulo ν_{t-1} with $\deg r < \deg \nu_{t-1}$
Choose u, $v \in D$ with $u\,\mathrm{lc}(f_1) + vp = 1$
$h \coloneqq u(f_1 + pr) + vX^{\deg(f_1) - \deg(\nu_{t-1})}p\nu_{t-1}$

Lemma 5.3. *Algorithm 2 is correct.*

Proof. Let $q \in D[X]$ such that $f_2 = q\nu_{t-1} + r$. We set

$$\tilde{h} = f_1 + pr = f - q(p\nu_{t-1}) \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B). \tag{6}$$

Since p does not divide $\mathrm{lc}(f_1)$, the leading terms of f_1 and pr cannot cancel each other out and $\deg(\tilde{h}) = \max\{\deg(f_1), \deg(r)\}$. On the other hand, $\tilde{h} \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) \setminus pD[X]$ and therefore

$\deg(\tilde{h}) \geq \deg(\nu_t)$ by Lemma 5.2. We conclude that

$$\deg(\nu_{t-1}) \leq \deg(\nu_t) \leq \deg(\tilde{h}) = \max\{\deg(f_1), \deg(r)\} \leq \max\{\deg(f_1), \deg(\nu_{t-1}) - 1\}$$

and it follows that $\max\{\deg(f_1), \deg(\nu_{t-1}) - 1\} = \deg(f_1) = \deg(\tilde{h})$ and therefore $p \nmid \operatorname{lc}(\tilde{h})$.

As $\deg(\tilde{h}) \geq \deg(\nu_{t-1}) > \deg(r)$, we have $\operatorname{lc}(\tilde{h}) = \operatorname{lc}(f_1)$. Thus h is monic. □

Algorithm 3 Replacing by monic polynomials

Input: $p \in D$ prime, $t \geq 1$, $f \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) \setminus pD[X]$
Output: Monic polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_s \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ such that
(1) $f \in (h_1, \ldots, h_s) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ and
(2) $\deg(f) \geq \deg(h_1) > \cdots > \deg(h_s)$.

$i \coloneqq 0$, $f_i = f$
while $f_i \notin pD[X]$ **do**
$i \coloneqq i + 1$
Determine monic $h_i \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ with $\deg(h_i) \leq \deg(f_{i-1})$ (Algorithm 2)
Let $f_i \in D[X]$ be the remainder of f_{i-1} modulo h_i with $\deg(f_i) < \deg(h_i)$.
end while
$s \coloneqq i$

Lemma 5.4. *Algorithm 3 terminates and is correct.*

Proof. The construction implies that $f_i \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ and $f_{i-1} \in (h_i, f_i)$.

Further, $\deg(f_i) < \deg(f_{i-1})$ holds which implies that there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_s \in pD[X]$. Hence $f_s \in p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ and

$$f = f_0 \in (h_1, f_1) \subseteq (h_1, h_2, f_2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_s, f_s) \subseteq (h_1, \ldots, h_s) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B).$$

□

We can now replace $\mathcal{F}$ by a set with the (p^t)-generating property which consists only of monic polynomials using Algorithm 3. Note that we need to know a (p^{t-1})-minimal polynomial to do the necessary computations. We are now ready to present Algorithm 4 to compute a (p^t)-minimal polynomial.

Algorithm 4 Computation of a (p^t)-minimal polynomial

Input: $t \geq 1$, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq D[X]$ with the (p^t)-generating property, ν_{t-1} a (p^{t-1})-minimal polynomial
Output: (p^t)-minimal polynomial ν_t of B.
Delete all elements in $\mathcal{F} \cap pD[X]$ from $\mathcal{F}$ and then replace non-monic polynomials in $\mathcal{F}$ by monic polynomials using Algorithm 3
Let $g \in \mathcal{F}$ be of minimal degree.
while $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f \neq g$ **do**
$\mathcal{F} \coloneqq \mathcal{F} \setminus \{f\}$
Let q, $r \in D[X]$ such that $f = qg + r$ and $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$.
if $r \notin pD[X]$ **then**
Let h_1, …, h_s be monic polynomials with $r \in (h_1, \ldots, h_s) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ (Algorithm 3).
Set $g \coloneqq h_s$ and $\mathcal{F} \coloneqq \mathcal{F} \cup \{h_1, \ldots, h_s\}$.
end if
end while
$\nu_t \coloneqq g$

Proposition 5.5. *Algorithm 4 terminates and is correct.*

Proof. We will show that in every step, $\mathcal{F}$ consists of monic polynomials and has the (p^t)-generating property and $(\min_{f\in\mathcal{F}} \deg(f), |\mathcal{F}|)$ decreases lexicographically in each step.

This implies that the algorithm computes a singleton with the (p^t)-generating property. According to Proposition 5.1, such a singleton contains a (p^t)-minimal polynomial.

Removing all polynomials in $\mathcal{F} \cap pD[X] \subseteq p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ in the first step does not affect the (p^t)-generating property. The same holds for replacing non-monic polynomials by Algorithm 3.

Now, let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the result of this first step in the algorithm and $\mathcal{F}_i$ be the resulting set after i iterations of the while loop. Further, let g_i be a polynomial of minimal degree in $\mathcal{F}_i$.

Now assume that $|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}| > 1$ and let us have a closer look at the i-th iteration of the while loop. For a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ with $f \neq g_{i-1}$, the algorithm computes the remainder r of f modulo g_{i-1} with $\deg(r) < \deg(g_{i-1})$. Then the following holds

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = (\mathcal{F}_{i-1} \setminus \{f\}) + (r) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B).$$

We split into two cases: $r \in pD[X]$ and $r \notin pD[X]$. If $r \in pD[X]$, then $r \in p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \setminus \{f\}$ has the (p^t)-generating property. In this case, $|\mathcal{F}_i| < |\mathcal{F}_{i-1}|$ holds and $g_i = g_{i-1}$ is a polynomial of minimal degree in $\mathcal{F}_i$.

If, however, $r \notin pD[X]$, then the algorithm computes monic polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_s$ with $\deg(r) \geq \deg(h_1) > \cdots > \deg(h_s)$ and $f \in (h_1, \ldots, h_s) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_i = \{h_1, \ldots, h_s\} \cup \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \setminus \{f\}$ has the (p^t)-generating property and $g_i = h_s$ is a polynomial of minimal degree in $\mathcal{F}_i$. In this case, $\deg(g_i) = \deg(h_s) \leq \deg(r) < \deg(g_{i-1})$. □

We conclude this section with Algorithm 5 that computes the generators of $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ of a matrix $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ and a prime element $p \in D$ as stated in Theorem 1, that are (p^s)-minimal polynomials ν_s for indices s of a finite set $\mathcal{S}$ such that for all $t \geq 1$,

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = \mu_B D[X] + p^t D[X] + \sum_{\substack{s\in\mathcal{S}\\ s\leq b(t)}} p^{\max\{0,t-s\}}\nu_{ps} D[X]$$

holds where $b(t) = \min\{r \in \mathcal{S} \mid r \geq s\}$.

Theorem 3. *Algorithm 5 terminates and is correct.*

Proof. By definition, $(\deg(\nu_s))_{s\geq 0}$ is a non-decreasing sequence which is bounded from above by $\deg(\mu_B)$. Hence this sequence eventually stabilizes. Moreover, as shown in [8, Proposition 2.22], the sequence always stabilizes at the value $\deg(\mu_B)$, that is, there exists $s_0 \geq 0$ such that $\deg(\nu_s) = \deg(\mu_B)$ for all $s \geq s_0$. This implies that the algorithm terminates.

For the correctness, observe first that Algorithm 4 computes a (p^t)-minimal polynomial ν_t with the (p^t)-generating property. Next, we explain the choice of $\boldsymbol{g}$. As $\nu_t \in \mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$, there is some $q_i \in D[X]$ such that $\nu_t b_i \equiv \chi_B q_i \pmod{p^t}$ by Corollary 4.3. Thus we have $r_i \equiv \chi_B(q_i - g_i) \pmod{p^t}$. As χ_B is monic, the degree of the right hand side modulo p^t exceeds the degree of r_i unless $q_i \equiv g_i \pmod{p^t}$.

If $\deg(\nu_t) = \deg(\nu_{t-1})$, then ν_t is a (p^{t-1})-minimal polynomial and $\nu_t - \nu_{t-1}$ is a polynomial in $\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$ with degree less than $\deg(\nu_{t-1})$. By Lemma 5.2, this implies that $\nu_t - \nu_{t-1} \in \mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B) \cap pD[X] = p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-2}}(B)$. Hence

$$(\nu_t) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-2}}(B) = (\nu_{t-1}) + p\mathsf{N}_{p^{t-2}}(B) = \mathsf{N}_{p^{t-1}}(B)$$

and thus ν_t has the (p^{t-1})-generating property. Observe that this is in particular the case if the Algorithm reaches its stopping point, that is, if $\deg(\nu_t) = \deg(\mu_B)$. Then μ_B is a (p^s)-minimal polynomial for all $s \geq t$.

The remaining proof consists of repeated application of Proposition 4.4 in both directions. □

Remark. Gröbner bases provide an alternative to Algorithm 4. Adams and Loustaunau describe in [1, Ch. 4.5] how to extend the theory of Gröbner bases to polynomial rings over principal ideal domains. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$, we set $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid p \nmid \mathrm{lc}(g)\}$. One can show that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \neq \emptyset$ and if g is a polynomial of minimal degree in $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, then $ug + vp^t X^{\deg(g)}$ is a (p^t)-minimal polynomial where $u, v \in D$ such that $u\,\mathrm{lc}(g) + vp^t = 1$.

Algorithm 5 Computation of $\mathcal{S}$ and minimal polynomials ν_s for $s \in \mathcal{S}$

Input: $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$, $p \in D$ prime
Output: $\mathcal{S}$, ν_s for $s \in \mathcal{S}$ (Theorem 1)
  $\chi_B \coloneqq$ characteristic polynomial of B
  $\mu_B \coloneqq$ minimal polynomial of B over quotient field K
  $\boldsymbol{b} \coloneqq$ the entries of $\operatorname{adj}(X - B)$ in some fixed order
  $A \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{b} & -\chi_B I \end{pmatrix}$
  $t \coloneqq 0$, $\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \emptyset$, $G \coloneqq$ the $((n^2+1) \times 0)$-matrix, $\nu_0 \coloneqq 1$
  while *True* **do**
    $t \coloneqq t + 1$
    (The columns of $\begin{pmatrix} p^{t-1}I & G \end{pmatrix}$ *generate* $\mathcal{O}_{t-1}(A)$*)*
    Determine F such that $\begin{pmatrix} p^t I & F & pG \end{pmatrix}$ are generators of $\mathcal{O}_t(A)$ by Algorithm 1
    $\mathcal{F} \coloneqq$ first row of F
    $\nu_t \coloneqq (p^t)$-minimal polynomial of B by Algorithm 4 (using ν_{t-1})
    if $\deg \nu_t \geq \deg \mu_B$ **then**
      return $\mathcal{S}$, ν_s for $s \in \mathcal{S}$
    end if
    for $i = 1, \dots, n^2$ **do**
      $\nu_t b_i = g_i \chi_B + r_i$ (long division)
    end for
    $\boldsymbol{g} \coloneqq (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n^2}$
    if $\deg \nu_t = \deg \nu_{t-1}$ **then**
      Delete last column of G
      $\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \mathcal{S} \setminus \{t-1\}$
    end if
    $G \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} pG & \nu_t \\ & \boldsymbol{g} \end{pmatrix}$
    $\mathcal{S} \coloneqq \mathcal{S} \cup \{t\}$
  end while

Example 5.6. We demonstrate Algorithm 5 and compute (2^t)-minimal polynomials for the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $t \geq 1$. The minimal and characteristic polynomial of B is $\mu_B = \chi_B = X^3 + X^2 - 12X - 20$. We skip the computation of ν_1 and claim that $\nu_1 = X^2 + X$ is a (2)-minimal polynomial (one can check that its residue class is the minimal polynomial of $\overline{B}$ over the field $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$).

Hence $\mathsf{N}_1(B) = \nu_1\mathbb{Z}[X] + 2\mathbb{Z}[X]$ and there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{g} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]^9$ such that the columns of $G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2I & \nu_1 \\ & \boldsymbol{g} \end{pmatrix}$ generate $\mathcal{O}_1(A)$ where $A = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{b} & -\chi_B I \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$\boldsymbol{b} = (X^2 + 2X, 0, X + 2, X - 10, X^2 - X - 10, -X + 2, 10X + 20, 0, X^2 + X - 2)^t$$

(cf. Proposition 4.4). Algorithm 5 performs polynomial long divisions to determine

$$\boldsymbol{g} = (X + 2, 0, 1, 1, X - 1, -1, 10, 0, X + 1)^t.$$

Next, Algorithm 5 calls Algorithm 1 to compute a matrix $F_2 \in M_{10,2}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$ such that the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} 4I & F_2 & 2G_1 \end{pmatrix}$ generate $\mathcal{O}_2(A)$. Without giving details here, we claim that

$$F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2X^2 + 2X & 2X & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2X + 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2X + 2 \\ X^2 + 3X + 2 & X + 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & X + 1 & -1 & 10 & 0 & X + 3 \end{pmatrix}^t$$

is such a matrix. Hence $\{2X^2 + 2X, X^2 + 3X + 2\}$ is a set with the (4)-generating property. We can apply Algorithm 4 which removes the first polynomial as it is an element of $2\mathbb{Z}[X] \cap$

$\mathsf{N}_2(B) = 2\mathsf{N}_1(B)$. Hence $\{X^2+3X+2\}$ has the (4)-generating property and by Proposition 5.1, $\nu_2 = X^2+3X+2$ is a (4)-minimal polynomial.

If $\boldsymbol{f}$ denotes the second column of F_2, then the columns of $G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4I & \boldsymbol{f} \end{pmatrix}$ generate $\mathcal{O}_2(A)$. In the next step, we apply again Algorithm 1 to compute

$$F_3 = \begin{pmatrix} X^3+7X^2+6X & X^2+8X+24 & 0 & X+8 & \dots \\ X^3+3X^2+2X & X^2+4X+16 & 0 & X+4 & \dots \end{pmatrix}^t$$

such that that the columns of $\begin{pmatrix} 8I & F_3 & 2G_2 \end{pmatrix}$ generate $\mathcal{O}_3(A)$. It follows that $\{X^3+7X^2+6X, X^3+3X^2+2X\}$ has the (8)-generating property. Since

$$X^3+7X^2+6X \in (X^3+3X^2+2X) + 2\mathsf{N}_4(B),$$

it follows that $\nu_3 = X^3+3X^2+2X$ is an (8)-minimal polynomial. However, since the degree of ν_3 is equal to $\deg(\mu_B)$, it follows that μ_B is a (2^t)-minimal polynomial for $t \geq 3$. Note that $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{2\}$.

6. Primes with trivial (p^t)-minimal polynomials

In this section, we show that for all but finitely many prime elements p and all $t \geq 1$, μ_B is a (p^t)-minimal polynomial. This further implies that the (p^t)-ideal $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B)$ of B is generated by μ_B and the constant p^t.

This assertion has been shown before as auxiliary result in the proof of [8, Theorem 4.3]. In order to make it more accessible, we restate it here as proper theorem together with a proof.

Theorem 4 ([8])**.** *Let D be a principal ideal domain and $B \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$ a square matrix with minimal polynomial μ_B. Then for all but finitely many prime elements $p \in D$ and all $t \geq 1$*

$$\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = \mu_B D[X] + p^t D[X].$$

Proof. It suffices to show that μ_B is a (p)-minimal polynomial for all but finitely many prime elements $p \in D$. If this is the case (for a fixed p), then Algorithm 5 stops in the first iteration of the while loop returning $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$. Hence, $\mathsf{N}_{p^t}(B) = \mu_B D[X] + p^t D[X]$ for all $t \geq 0$ by Theorem 3.

Considered as a matrix over the quotient field K of D, B is similar to its rational canonical form C, that is, there exists a matrix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that

$$TBT^{-1} = C = \mathcal{C}_{\mu_B} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mu_1} \tag{7}$$

where $\mu_1 \mid \cdots \mid \mu_r = \mu_B$ are the invariant factors of B (in $K[X]$) and $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_i}$ denotes the companion matrix of the polynomial μ_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$ (cf. [6, Theorem XIV.2.1]). Since D is integrally closed, every monic factor in $K[X]$ of a monic polynomial in $D[X]$ is already in $D[X]$, cf. [2, Ch. 5, §1.3, Prop. 11]. Therefore $\mu_i \in D[X]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ because $\mu_i \mid \mu_B$ and μ_B divides the characteristic polynomial $\chi_B \in D[X]$.

Hence the rational canonical form C of B is a matrix with entries in D. Moreover, we can choose $T \in \mathrm{M}_n(D)$. However, in general, the similarity relation of B and C does not hold over the domain D, that is, we cannot assume $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$.

Let p be a prime element that does not divide $\det(T)$. Then $\det(T)$ is invertible in the localization $D_{(p)}$ of D at p and $T^{-1} = \det(T)^{-1}\operatorname{adj}(T) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D_{(p)})$. This allows to reduce Equation (7) modulo p

$$\overline{T}\,\overline{B}\,\overline{T}^{-1} = \overline{TBT^{-1}} = \overline{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mu_B}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mu_1}}$$

(where we identify the residue fields of D and $D_{(p)}$ modulo p). Hence $\overline{C}$ is the rational canonical form of $\overline{B}$ which implies that $\overline{\mu_B}$ is the minimal polynomial of $\overline{B}$. Equivalently, μ_B is a (p)-minimal polynomial of B. The assertion follows since $\det(T)$ has only finitely many prime divisors. □

The choice of the transformation matrix in the proof of Theorem 4 is not unique. Moreover, the prime divisors of different transformation matrices may not coincide as the following example demonstrates.

Example 6.1. Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The rational canonical form of B is $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -5 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$. The matrices $T = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ both satisfy (over $\mathbb{Q}$)

$$TBT^{-1} = C = SBS^{-1}.$$

Since $\det(S) = 1$, B is similar to C over $\mathbb{Z}$. This implies that μ_B is a (p)-minimal polynomial for all primes p of $\mathbb{Z}$. However, $\det(T) = 3$.

References

[1] W. W. Adams and P. Loustaunau. *An introduction to Gröbner bases*, volume 3 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.

[2] N. Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra, Chapters 1–7*. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[3] W. C. Brown. *Matrices over Commutative Rings*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1993.

[4] W. C. Brown. Null ideals and spanning ranks of matrices. *Comm. Algebra*, 26(8):2401–2417, 1998.

[5] C. Heuberger and R. Rissner. Compute J-ideal of a matrix. `http://trac.sagemath.org/ticket/21992`, 2016.

[6] S. Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2002.

[7] N. H. McCoy. *Rings and ideals*. Carus Monograph Series, no. 8. The Open Court Publishing Company, LaSalle, Ill., 1948.

[8] R. Rissner. Null ideals of matrices over residue class rings of principal ideal domains. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 494:44–69, 2016.

[9] The SageMath Developers. *SageMath Mathematics Software (Version 7.4)*, 2016. `http://www.sagemath.org`.

Institut für Mathematik, Alpen-Adria-Universität Klagenfurt, Universitätsstrasse 65–67, 9020 Klagenfurt am Wörthersee, Austria

E-mail address: `clemens.heuberger@aau.at`

Institut für Analysis und Zahlentheorie, TU Graz, Kopernikusgasse 24, 8010 Graz, Austria

E-mail address: `roswitha.rissner@tugraz.at`